

Cherokee revised FY-09 workplan Elizabeth Braziel

to: Ryan Callison

02/01/2010 03:00 PM

Co: Maria Martinez, Anthony Talton

Ryan, please include this comment awareness in prior email.

ITEC needs to be aware that the final Pb monitoring reconsideration proposal addressing accepted petitions, is not expected to be finalized until late calendar year 2010-Q3.



Cherokee Revised FY-09 Workplan Elizabeth Braziel

to: Ryan Callison

02/01/2010 02:53 PM

Co: Anthony Talton, Maria Martinez
Boo: Regina Krystyn

Ryan, attach below are comments/revisions provided from technical staff. Please respond or revise appropriately.

Did CAMD or R6 Tribal 103 funding purchase the Tekran equipment at Stilwell?

Is Cherokee Nation not actively pursuing Task 14 (Pb sampling) for the duration of FY10? Should R6 expect to see this activity in CNEP's workplan for FY11 activities upon acquiring a lab with EPA FRM/FEM analytical methods for Pb?

 Is the current Task 14 meant for the Stilwell NCORE site or the Pryor site? NCORE is mentioned in the title of the task and in the first paragraph under "Method" but Pryor is also mentioned above "Method" and in planned output #2. This needs to be clarified.

 New task 15 should add an additional planned output right after #3 which would read: "Comments on the site assessment document from EPA Region 6, ODEQ, and ADEQ, will be discussed and adequately addressed."

Planned output #5 should be revised to read as follows: "If agreed upon by all parties (i.e. EPA Region 6, ODEQ, ADEQ, and Cherokee Nation), relocate the station in late FY10 (e.g. August-September 2010). Start up would be in the FY11 fiscal year."

If you feel this needs further discussion please contact me at number listed below.

Elizabeth Braziel, Regional Tribal Air Program Coordinator Multi Media Planning and Permitting Division EPA Region 6 214-665-6449 PH braziel.elizabeth@epa.gov



Re: Fw: Cherokee Nation Workplan - Revised 🖺 Regina Krystyn

to: Maria Martinez

Cc: Mark Sather, Bill Nally Bcc: Elizabeth Braziel

Maria,

below are the consolidated comments from Mark, Bill, and myself on Cherokee Nation's modified FY10 workplan.

Regina

Is the \$8,000 mentioned in Task 6 the line item amount for this previously approved activity or the anticipated amount of unspent funds for not pursuing this activity?

Adding information to Regina's first and second comments, it is my understanding from a previous conversation with Ryan that the \$8,000 from Task 6 are left over unexpended CAA 103 funds at the time the CAMD funds were received (1/1/2010). Also, I believe that CAMD purchased the Tekran equipment for the Stilwell site (note the sentence in Task 6 that states "All equipment has been provided by EPA Clean Air Markets Division.").

Did CAMD or R6 Tribal 103 funding purchase the Tekran equipment at Stilwell?

- Is Cherokee Nation not actively pursuing Task 14 (Pb sampling) for the duration of FY10? Should R6 expect to see this activity in CNEP's workplan for FY11 activities upon acquiring a lab with EPA FRM/FEM analytical methods for Pb?
- Is the current Task 14 meant for the Stilwell NCORE site of the Pryor site? NCORE is mentioned in the title of the task and in the first paragraph under "Method" but Pryor is also mentioned above "Method" and in planned output #2. This needs to be clarified.
- Mark's comment about air toxics monitoring at Cherokee Heights (Pryor monitoring site) and referenced NCore FY10/FY09? air toxics monitoring, requires clarification/revision.
- New task 15 should add an additional planned output right after #3 which would read: "Comments on the site assessment document from EPA Region 6, ODEQ, and ADEQ, will be discussed and adequately addressed." Planned output #5 should be revised to read as follows: "If agreed upon by all parties (i.e. EPA Region 6, ODEQ, ADEQ, and Cherokee Nation), relocate the station in late FY10 (e.g. August-September 2010). Start up would be in the FY11 fiscal year."
- NCore is currently proposed to be required at all NCore monitoring sites by 0101/11, with comments being sought before 02/16/10, on whether Pb monitoring should be required at rural NCore monitoring sites. At this point in time, ITEC needs to be aware that the final Pb monitoring reconsideration proposal addressing accepted petitions, is not expected to be finalized until late calendar year 2010-Q3!

Fw: Cherokee Nation Workplan - Revised



Fw: Cherokee Nation Workplan - Revised

Maria Martinez to: Regina Krystyn

01/22/2010 08:21 AM

Regina,

In order to avoid confusion on what PD-Q's comments and as part of your role for coordinating Tribal air monitoring activities...it is the expectation that you coordinate and compile all PD-Q's comments before sending them to the PO. If you have issues making progress in collecting these comments/timelines you need to communicate those to me prior to exceeding any deadlines.

I appreciate you letting me know what you review results/comments are singly, however, you need to coordinate with Bill (and others) and compile one set of comments to submit to Liz.

Maria

---- Forwarded by Maria Martinez/R6/USEPA/US on 01/22/2010 08:19 AM -----



Re: Cherokee Nation Workplan - Revised 🖺

Elizabeth Braziel to: Regina Krystyn

01/22/2010 07:27 AM

Cc: Bill Nally, Maria Martinez, Mark Sather, Anthony Talton

Regina, I extended QAPP to allow more time for technical review/comments. Do you assume that 2 more weeks would be enough time for 6PD-Q to provide all comments/revisions?

Elizabeth Braziel, Regional Tribal Air Program Coordinator Multi Media Planning and Permitting Division EPA Region 6 214-665-6449 PH braziel.elizabeth@epa.gov

Re: Cherokee Nation Workplan - Revised



Re: Cherokee Nation Workplan - Revised [3]

Regina Krystyn to: Elizabeth Braziel

01/21/10 04:24 PM

Cc: Bill Nally, Maria Martinez, Mark Sather

No, Mark has not yet reviewed or provided comments.

I'm unsure whether Bill will be reviewing the workplan.

Regina

Re: Cherokee Nation Workplan - Revised





Re: Cherokee Nation Workplan - Revised 📋



Elizabeth Braziel to: Regina Krystyn

01/21/2010 03:52 PM

Bill Nally, Maria Martinez, Mark Sather

Regina thanks for comments, are these all the comments from 6PD-Q?

Elizabeth Braziel, Regional Tribal Air Program Coordinator Multi Media Planning and Permitting Division **EPA Region 6** 214-665-6449 PH braziel.elizabeth@epa.gov

Cherokee Nation Workplan - Revised



Cherokee Nation Workplan - Revised

Regina Krystyn to: Elizabeth Braziel

01/21/2010 03:27 PM

Cc: Maria Martinez, Mark Sather, Bill Nally

Liz.

I have the following comments/questions on Cherokee Nation's modified workplan.

- Is the \$8,000 mentioned in Task 6 the line item amount for this previously approved activity or the anticipated amount of unspent funds for not pursuing this activity?
- Did CAMD or R6 Tribal 103 funding purchase the Tekran equipment at Stilwell?
- Is Cherokee Nation not actively pursuing Task 14 (Pb sampling) for the duration of FY10? Should R6 expect to see this activity in CNEP's workplan for FY11 activities upon acquiring a lab with EPA FRM/FEM analytical methods for Pb?

Regina

Forwarded by Regina Krystyn/R6/USEPA/US on 01/21/2010 10:05 AM -----



RE: CNEP NCORE LEAD/METALS

Ryan Callison to: Elizabeth Braziel

12/17/2009 03:16 PM

Aunjanee Gautreaux, Regina Krystyn, Bill Nally, Kuenja Chung, April Hathcoat , Tom Elkins, Jacque Adam

Liz,

We had a call on Wednesday (12-16) to discuss Metals and Lead (Pb) monitoring at our NCORE site in Stilwell. This was primarily an opportunity to give me an idea what types of equipment and Lab analysis folks are using. We had initially planned to use our High Volume Tisch Samplers and utilize ERG in North Carolina for the analysis.

I had a call with ERG and it was mentioned they haven't received an EPA approval yet for their ICP/MS method for the Lead Analysis component. Therefore the data may not be suitable for NAAQS comparisons for lead until mid-march or later. To obtain approval for the method ERG must go through a lab certification process in which EPA mails out a series of test strips that ERG must analyze and achieve

the correct results in comparison. ERG will likely complete this process sometime in mid February and receive approval for their analysis method.

This delay would enable us to only collect a maximum of 6 months of lead data at NCORE; Currently there isn't an NCORE lead monitoring requirement; This will possibly come in the future.

I'm proposing that we suspend Task #14 in the CNEP workplan until such time a requirement is made and contract labs have the proper certifications for the method required.

If our contract lab can't produce NAAQS comparable data then it isn't cost effective for us to sample for lead at this time.

As a reminder, CNEP owns the equipment from a previous project and we can discuss at a later time an idea I have for the remaining contract dollar amounts we have.

Thanks.

Ryan